

**Remarks:**

Claims 1, 50, 53, 55, 56 and 66 have been amended. Claims 34-49, 51, 52, 57, and 58 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 1-33, 50, 53-56 and 59-68 remain in the application.

**§112 Rejections**

Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite that the components gel in “less than about 13 seconds,” rather than 30 seconds, as previously recited. The new matter rejection is therefore overcome and this amended claim 1 is now in an allowable form.

Applicant has amended claims 55 and 56 to recite “isocyanate” rather than “isocyanate quasi-prepolymer,” and the issues raised with regard to antecedent basis are overcome.

Applicant has amended claim 59 to recite “active amine hydrogen containing materials” rather than “amine-terminated polyether resins”, and the issues raised with regard to antecedent basis are overcome.

Claim 66 has been amended to depend from claim 65, a composition claim, rather than claim 64, a method claim, and the antecedent basis problems are therefore overcome.

**§103 Rejections**

Claims 34, 36-37, 39, 42-46, 48-50, 52-53, 55-58, and 60-62 stand rejected as unpatentable in view of Bock et al. Of the above claims, Applicant has cancelled 34, 36-

37, 39, 42-46, 48-49, 52, 57, and 58. The remaining claims in the group are 50, 53, 55, and 56.

Claim 50 has been amended to incorporate substantially the limitations of claim 59, deemed allowable by the Examiner if rewritten to include limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant has limited the amine hydrogen content to 70%, rather than the 90% originally set forth in claim 59. This limitation accords with previously allowed claims, wherein the active hydrogen content of “at least 70%” is recited. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection to claim 50 and the claims dependent thereto is respectfully requested.

Claims 38 and 54 stand rejected as unpatentable over Bock in view of Primeaux, II. Claim 38 has been cancelled. Claim 54 depends from claim 50, incorporating substantially the limitations of dependent claim 59, deemed allowable, and the rejection is therefore overcome and withdrawal of the same is respectfully requested.

Applicant respectfully acknowledges the allowance of claims 9-33, 63-65, and 67-68. All the remaining claims have either been cancelled or amended to overcome the rejections, allowance and passage of the subject application to issue is therefore respectfully requested.

If Applicant may provide any further information, or assist the Examiner in the prosecution of this application in any way, she is invited to contact the undersigned at (248) 364-2100.

Respectfully submitted,

DINNIN & DUNN, P.C.

By:   
Michael T. Raggio (Reg. No. 36,645)  
2701 Cambridge Court, Ste. 500  
Auburn Hills, MI 48326  
(248) 364-2100

*Attorney for Applicant*

Dated:

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or deposited) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail (EV 318169685US) in an envelope addressed to: Hon. Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By: 